

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JANET LEEDS,  
Plaintiff,

v.

COUNTY OF MARIN, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. 25-cv-04227-JST

**SCHEDULING ORDER**

The Court hereby sets the following case deadlines pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 and Civil Local Rule 16-10:

Event	Deadline
Deadline to add parties or amend the pleadings <sup>1</sup>	November 10, 2025
Mediation deadline	July 1, 2026
Fact discovery cut-off	August 21, 2026
Expert disclosures	August 28, 2026
Expert rebuttal	September 18, 2026
Expert discovery cut-off	October 2, 2026
Dispositive motion hearing deadline	November 19, 2026
Pretrial conference statement due	January 29, 2027

<sup>1</sup> After this deadline, a party may still seek amendment, but must demonstrate good cause. Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4).

Event	Deadline
Pretrial conference	February 5, 2027 at 2:00 p.m.
Trial	March 1, 2027 at 8:00 a.m.
Estimate of trial length (in days)	Eight

This case will be tried to a jury.

This case is referred to private mediation.

Counsel may not modify these dates without leave of court. The parties shall comply with the Court's standing orders, which are available at <https://cand.uscourts.gov/judges/tigar-jon-s-jst/>.

The Court has set a dispositive motion deadline which allows enough time for the Court to consider any such motions well in advance of trial. The parties should assume that any subsequent continuance of the dispositive motion deadline, or any enlargement of the dispositive motion briefing schedule beyond that set forth in Civil Local Rule 7-3, will result in a continuance of the pretrial conference and trial dates of equal or greater length.

The parties must take all necessary steps to conduct discovery, compel discovery, hire counsel, retain experts, and manage their calendars so that they can complete discovery in a timely manner and appear at trial on the noticed and scheduled dates. All counsel must arrange their calendars to accommodate these dates, or arrange to substitute or associate in counsel who can.

Trial dates set by this Court should be regarded as firm. Requests for continuance are disfavored. The Court will not consider any event subsequently scheduled by a party, party-controlled witness, expert or attorney that conflicts with the above trial date as good cause to grant a continuance. The Court will not consider the pendency of settlement discussions as good cause to grant a continuance.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: September 9, 2025

  
 JON S. TIGAR  
 United States District Judge